



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		TO DUKENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.		
09/685,304	10/10/2000	Wen-Shi Huang	00766	4350	
75	90 08/08/2002				
Christine R Ethridge Esq			EXAMINER		
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart LLP Henry W Oliver Building			ADDISON, KAREN B		
535 Smithfield Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2312			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/685,304		HUANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Karen B Addison		2834				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover s	heet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
Period fo	r Reply Ortened Statutory Period for Reply	/ IS SET TO EXPI	RE 3 MONTH(S	S) FROM				
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howevery within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI3	r, may a reply be tim um of thirty (30) days ((6) MONTHS from ecome ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M							
2a)⊠	,	is action is non-fina			la a la saite de			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
-		application						
	4) Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 7-12 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
,—	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5 and 7-12</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alaction requirem	ent					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
*	Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ority documents ha ureau (PCT Rule 1	ve been receiv 7.2(a)).	ed in this Nationa	al Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	 a) The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	rovisional application	n has been re	ceived.				
Attachme								
1) Not 2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		ry (PTO-413) Paper N I Patent Application (F				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/685,304

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the non-periodic lumpy edge must be shown or the feature canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9 and 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant's drawing and specifications fail to disclose the rotor and stator cylinder having a non-periodic lumpy edge at the time the application was filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/685,304

Art Unit: 2834

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim1, 3-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ichihara(449,887) in view of APA.

ichihara discloses in fig.3(a) a structure for magnetizing a rotor magnet of a motor, comprising a stator (6) and a rotor (3) having a unitary magnet cylinder bonded by an inner surface and outer surface, wherein at least one of the surface is a unitary and continuous connecting wavy curve having different arc centers. Ichihara dose not disclose the stator having plurality of silicon steel sheets, a stator having a unitary magnet cylinder with a wavy curve continuously connected having different arc centers and a rotor having a magnetic cylinder with a lumpy edge.

APA discloses a structure for magnetizing a rotor magnet of a motor comprising a rotor (12) and a stator (11) wherein the stator comprise a plurality of silicon steel sheets (111) that is symmetrical for the purpose of changing the air gap. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to employ the rotor of Ichihara with the stator of APA for the purpose of reducing losses due to magnetic flux.

Claim 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ichihara in view of APA as applied to claim 1,3-5 and 7 and further in view of Petscher (1566693) and Tetsuo (JP02119544).

As seen in paragraph number 3 above, Ichihara discloses rotor having a unitary magnet cylinder with a continuously wavy curve connected having different arc centers and APA having a stator comprising silicon sheets. However, neither Ichihara nor APA discloses

Application/Control Number: 09/685,304

Art Unit: 2834

a stator having a unitary magnet with a wavy curve having different arc centers, a rotor having a plurality of silicon steel sheets wound by a plurality of winding coils and a rotor having a unitary magnet cylinder with a lumpy edge.

Pletscher teaches in fig.12 a motor comprising stator and a rotor wherein the rotor (A) comprises coils that may be made stationary (pg.3 Col.1,line 5-9) for the purpose of reducing manufacturing cost. Pletscher does not disclose a rotor having magnet cylinder with a lumpy edge.

Tetsuo discloses in fig. 3 a structure for a magnetizing rotor motor comprising: a magnetic cylinder with a lumpy edge and a plurality of concave and convex surfaces for the purpose of increasing the holding power when the rotor is turned at high speed. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the rotor of Ichihara with the stator of APA and modify the motor with the teaching of pletschers and the magnetic cylinder with the lumpy edge for the purpose of reducing cogging torque between the stator frame and the rotor frame and to enable correspondence to revolution at high speed by continuously connecting fixed protrusions.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3-5 and 7-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,304

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen B Addison whose telephone number is 703-306-5855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1317. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KBA July 1, 2002

> KARL TAMAI PRIMARY EXAMINER

And Tw